

Professional Basics

Grant Evaluation Matrix



	Excellent (25-34 pts)	Good (18-26 pts)	Adequate (10-18 pts)	Poor (0-9 pts)
Quality of Proposed Project	The proposal clearly demonstrates an extremely worthwhile and urgent need that the grant will help satisfy.	The proposal clearly demonstrates a worthwhile need that the grant will help satisfy.	The proposal demonstrates a need that the grant will help satisfy.	The proposal does not adequately demonstrate a worthy need.
Ability to Complete Project	The project proposal is extensively researched and is clearly the evolution of the artist/writer/curator's previous work. It is a fully "fleshed-out" proposal that includes extensive information detailing costs, materials, timeline, and logistics. There is a clear plan for completion.	The project proposal is well researched and is the evolution of the artist/writer/curator's previous work. The proposal includes ample information detailing costs, materials, timeline and logistics. There is a clear plan for completion.	The project proposal shows some research and seems in-line with the artist/writer/curator's previous work. The proposal includes some basic information detailing costs, materials, timeline, and logistics. There is a sufficient plan for completion.	The project proposal is poorly researched and seems out of step with the artist/writer/curator's previous work. The proposal lacks information detailing costs, materials, timeline, and logistics. There is no clear plan for completion and/or seems vague/unrealistic.
Relevance Based on Portfolio	Based on work samples it is extremely clear how the artist/writer/curator could benefit from this opportunity.	Based on work samples it is clear how the artist/writer/curator could benefit from this opportunity.	Based on work samples it is somewhat clear how the artist/writer/curator could benefit from this opportunity.	Based on work samples it is unclear how the artist/writer/curator could benefit from this opportunity.